# **FACT SHEET**

# Educational Outcomes for Children and Youth in Foster and Out-of-Home Care

September 2007

For the over 800,000 children and youth served in foster care each year in the United States, educational success is a potential positive counterweight to abuse, neglect, separation, and impermanence. Positive school experiences enhance their well-being, help them make more successful transitions to adulthood, and increase their chances for personal fulfillment and economic self-sufficiency, as well as their ability to contribute to society.

Unfortunately, the educational outcomes for children and youth in foster care are dismal. As this current research summary reveals, young people in foster care are in educational crises. Although data are limited, particularly national data, research makes it clear that serious issues must be addressed to ensure the educational success of children and youth in foster care.

#### **National Foster Care Data**

The following data are based on the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services AFCARS report: *Preliminary FY 2005 Estimates as of September 2006.*<sup>1</sup>

#### TABLE 1. NATIONAL FOSTER CARE STATISTICS

Children and Youth in Foster Care	Percentages/Numbers
Children and youth served in foster care during 2005	Over 800,000 (about 1% of child population <sup>2</sup> )
Children and youth in foster care on September 30, 2005	513,000
Young children in foster care (age 0–4)	28% (142,163)
School age children in foster care (age 5–18)	71% (360,848)
Young adults in foster care (age 18–20)	5% (23,997)
Children and youth of color in foster care	57%
Male children and youth in foster care	52%
Number of youth who emancipated/aged out of foster care in 2005	9% (24,407)
Children and youth exiting foster care who had been in care for one year or more	50%
Children and youth exiting foster care who had been in care for three years or more	17%

# **School Placement Stability/Enrollment Issues**

#### School Mobility Rates of Children and Youth in Foster Care

- Children and youth have an average of one to two home placement changes per year while in out-of-home care.3
- A 2001 study of more than 4,500 children and youth in foster care in Washington State found that, at both the elementary and secondary levels, twice as many youth in foster care as youth not in care had changed schools during the year.<sup>4</sup>
- In a 2000 New York study of 70 children and youth in foster care, more than 75% did not remain in their school once placed in foster care, and almost 65% had been transferred in the middle of the school year.<sup>5</sup>
- A 2004–2005 three-state study of youth aging out of care (the Midwest Study) by Chapin Hall revealed substantial levels of school mobility associated with placement in out-of-home care. Over a third of young adults reported having had five or more school changes.<sup>6</sup>

- School mobility rates are highest for those entering care for the first time. According to another Chapin Hall study
  in 2004 of almost 16,000 children and youth in the Chicago Public School system, over two-thirds switched
  schools shortly after their initial placement in out-of-home care.<sup>7</sup>
- A study of 1,082 Casey Family Programs foster care alumni served across the country found that over two-thirds (68%) of them had attended three or more different elementary schools and a third (33%) had attended five or more.<sup>8</sup>

#### **Negative Effects of School Mobility**

- A 1996 study of students in Chicago Public Schools found that students who had changed schools four or more times had lost approximately one year of educational growth by their sixth year.<sup>9</sup>
- A 1999 study found that California high school students who changed schools even once were less than half as likely to graduate as those who did not change schools, even when controlling for other variables that affect high school completion.<sup>10</sup>
- In the Casey national study of 1,082 foster care alumni throughout the country, youth who had had one fewer placement change per year were almost twice as likely to graduate from high school before leaving care.<sup>11</sup>
- A 2004 study in Philadelphia of 1,635 children over age two found that multiple placements and episodic foster care increased the probability of high mental health service use.<sup>12</sup>

#### Suspensions/Expulsions

• Two-thirds (67%) of youth in out-of-home care in the Midwest Study had been suspended from school at least once compared to 28% in a national sample of general population youth. About one sixth (17%) of the Midwest youth had been expelled compared with 5% of the general population sample.<sup>13</sup>

#### **Enrollment Issues**

- In the New York study, 42% of the children and youth did not begin school immediately upon entering foster
  care. Nearly half of these young people said that they were kept out of school because of lost or misplaced school
  records.<sup>14</sup>
- A 2001 Bay Area study of over 300 foster parents found that "missing information from prior schools increased the odds of enrollment delays by 6.5 times."

#### **Academic Outcomes**

#### **Academic Achievement**

- The Washington State study found that children and youth in foster care attending public schools scored 16 to 20 percentile points below non-foster youth in statewide standardized tests at grades three, six, and nine. 16
- Youth in foster care in the Midwest Study, interviewed primarily after completing 10th or 11th grade, on average read at only a seventh grade level. Approximately 44% read at high school level or higher. Few excelled in academic subjects, especially relative to a comparable national sample. Less than one in five received an "A" in English, math, history, or science.<sup>17</sup>
- Chapin Hall's research on Chicago Public School children and youth in out-of-home care indicates they lag
  at least half a school year behind demographically similar students in the same schools. (There is an overall
  achievement gap of upwards of one year. However, some of this is attributed to the low-performing schools that
  many of them attend.) Almost 50% of third to eighth grade students in out-of-home care scored in the bottom
  quartile on the reading section of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS) test.<sup>18</sup>

#### Grade Retention/Old for Grade

- In the Washington State study, twice as many youth in foster care at both the elementary and secondary levels repeated a grade compared to youth not in care.<sup>19</sup>
- Nearly 45% of youth in care in the New York State study reported being retained at least once in school.<sup>20</sup>
- In the Midwest Study, 37% of youth in foster care (compared with 22% of a comparable national sample) reported repeating a grade.21
- Chicago Public School students in out-of-home care were almost twice as likely as other students to be at least a year older for their grade, even after demographic factors were taken into account and comparisons made to other students attending the same schools.<sup>22</sup>
- The national study of Casey foster care alumni found that 36% of them had repeated a grade.<sup>23</sup>

# **Special Education Issues**

#### Number of Youth in Special Education

- Numerous studies indicate anywhere between one-quarter and nearly one-half (23%–47%) of children and youth in out-of-home care in the U.S. receive special education services at some point in their schooling.<sup>24</sup> The national average of school-aged children and youth served in special education each year is close to 12%.<sup>25</sup>
- · At both the elementary and secondary levels, more than twice as many foster youth as non-foster youth in the Washington State study had enrolled in special education programs.<sup>26</sup>
- Nearly half of the youth in foster care in the Midwest Study had been placed in special education at least once during the course of their education.<sup>27</sup>
- Chicago Public School students in out-of-home care between sixth and eighth grades were classified as eligible for special education nearly three times more frequently than students not in care. <sup>28</sup>
- 38% of Casey foster care alumni reported they had been enrolled in supplemental education classes for youth needing extra help.

#### Advocacy Regarding Special Education Services

- An Oregon study done in 2006 compared a group of 45 youth in foster care in special education to a group in special education, but not in foster care. They found that: <sup>29</sup>
  - » The education and transition plans of foster youth were lower in quality.
  - » The transition plans of the foster care group were half as likely as the comparison group's plans to contain goals regarding education after high school (31% vs. 60%).
  - » Youth in foster care were less likely to have an advocate (i.e., family member, foster parent, or educational surrogate) present at their planning process meeting (42% vs. 69%).
- In the Bay Area study, 68% of the school-age children in foster care reviewed were identified as having special needs, yet only 36% were receiving special education services.<sup>30</sup>
- In the New York study, Advocates for Children of New York found that:
  - » 90% of biological parents of children in foster care surveyed did not participate in any special education processes concerning their child.31
  - » 60% of caseworkers/social workers surveyed "were not aware of existing laws when referring children to special education" and over 50% said "that their clients did not receive appropriate services very often while in foster care."32
- A 1990 study in Oregon found that children who had multiple foster care placements and who needed special education were less likely to receive those services than children in more stable placements.

## Mental, Emotional and Behavioral Issues

#### **Mental Health**

- In a 2005 study of foster care alumni in Oregon and Washington (the Northwest Alumni Study), 54% of alumni had one or more mental health disorders in the past 12 months, such as depression, social phobia or panic syndrome (compared with 22% of general population).<sup>33</sup>
- In the same study, 25% had post-traumatic stress disorder within the past 12 months (compared with 4% of general population), which is twice the rate of U.S. war veterans.<sup>34</sup>
- A 2003 study that surveyed key child welfare administrators in 92 localities about their policies for screening children entering out of home care found that only 43% of them provided comprehensive assessments that included physical, mental health, and developmental examinations.<sup>35</sup>

#### **Emotional and Behavioral Issues**

- Recent research in Chicago confirmed previous statewide research findings that children in foster care are significantly more likely than children in the general population to have a special education classification of an emotional or behavioral disturbance.<sup>36</sup>
- Several studies have found that children and youth in foster care are significantly more likely to have school behavior problems and that they have higher rates of suspensions and expulsions from school.<sup>37</sup>

# **High School Completion Rates**

#### High School Completion Rates/Drop-Out Rates

- A 2006 report by the EPE Research Center indicates that the nationwide high school completion rate for all students is 70%. More are lost in ninth than in any other grade (9th: 35%; 10th: 28%; 11th: 20%; 12th: 17%).38
- Studies have found differing rates of high school completion (through a degree or GED), by youth in out-ofhome care, though the measures have been defined somewhat differently:
  - » In the Washington State study, 59% of youth in foster care enrolled in 11th grade completed high school by the end of 12th grade.39
  - » The young adults in the Northwest Alumni Study and the Casey national alumni study completed high school (via diploma or GED) at rates of 85% and 86%, respectively, by age 25, which is comparable to the general population rate. Both studies found, however, much higher GED completion rates compared to the general population (5%): over one in four (29%) in the Northwest study, 40 and one in five (19%) in the Casey national study.41
  - » In the Midwest Study, approximately 58% of youth in foster care had a high school degree at age 19, compared to 87% of their same-age peers in a comparable a national sample. 42
  - » A national study in 1994 of young adults who had been discharged from foster care found that 54% had completed high school.43
  - » In the Chapin Hall study of Chicago Public School youth, fifteen-year-old students in out-of-home care were about half as likely as other students to have graduated 5 years later, with significantly higher percentages of students in care having dropped out (55%) or incarcerated (10%).44
- A 1997 study on long-term outcomes for children in foster care on a national level found that youth in foster care are more than twice as likely (37% vs. 16%) to have dropped out of high school than non-foster youth. Five years later, 77% of the former foster youth who had dropped out of high school had completed a high school diploma or GED, compared with 93% of the non-foster youth who had dropped out. 45

#### Factors Contributing to Dropping Out

- A 1995 nationwide study of over 17,000 students found that being retained even once between first and eighth grade makes a student four times more likely to drop out than a classmate who was never held back, even after controlling for multiple factors.46
- School mobility has also been implicated as a clear risk factor for dropout in several studies.<sup>47</sup>
- The recent EPE Research Center report indicates that repeating a grade, changing schools, and behavior problems are among the host of signals that a student is likely to leave school without a traditional diploma.<sup>48</sup>
- The book Drop Outs in America reports research that shows the following students are at risk for dropping out: students of color, students who had been held back, students who are older than others in their grade, and English-language learners.<sup>49</sup>

# Postsecondary Preparation, Entrance, and Completion

#### College Aspiration/Preparation

- The majority (80%) of those youth in out-of-home care interviewed in the Midwest Study at age 17–18 hoped and expected to graduate from college eventually.<sup>53</sup>
- Foster youth with high educational aspirations, on average, were found to have higher reading achievement compared to foster youth with lower aspirations in a 2003 study of Illinois youth age 16-17.54
- A national study done in 1997 indicates that only 15% of youth in foster care are likely to be enrolled in college preparatory classes versus 32% of students not in foster care, even when they have similar test scores and grades.<sup>55</sup>
- Strong academic preparation has been found to be the single most important factor in enrolling and succeeding in a postsecondary program. However, in the United States, studies of the general population have found that:
  - » Only 32% of all students leave high school qualified to attend a four-year college.<sup>56</sup>
  - » Only 20% of all African American and 16% of all Hispanic students leave high school college-ready.<sup>57</sup>
  - » Between 30-60% of students "now require remedial education upon entry to college, depending on the type of institution they attend."58

#### Postsecondary Entrance/Completion Rates

- The Northwest Alumni Study<sup>50</sup> found that of the foster care alumni who were interviewed:
  - » 43% completed some education beyond high school.
  - » 21% completed any degree/certificate beyond high school.
  - » 16% completed a vocational degree (22% among those age 25 or older).
  - » 1.8% completed a bachelor's degree (3% among those age 25 or older) compared to the general population rate of 24%.
- Estimates from a range of studies vary widely for college enrollment rates (7–48%) and graduation rates (1–8%).
- The Casey national alumni study reported college completion rates of 9% (at any age) compared to the general population rate of 24%.52

# Social/Economic Issues

#### Social Issues

- In the Midwest Study, by about 19 years of age, young women in foster care were more than twice as likely to have been pregnant by age 19 compared with their peers not in foster care.<sup>59</sup>
- The Midwest Study also found that youth aging out of the child welfare system had higher rates of offending across a range of behaviors from property crimes to serious violent crimes than their same age peers. Both male and female foster youth, for example, were over 10 times more likely to report having been arrested since age 18 than youth in a comparative sample.<sup>60</sup>
- In the Northwest Alumni Study, more than one in five alumni reported experiencing homelessness since discharge from foster care. 61 In the Midwest Study, one in seven youth reported experiencing homelessness since leaving care.62

#### GED vs. High School Diploma

 In research done in 1998 analyzing the educational and labor market performance of GED recipients, it was found that individuals earning a GED credential instead of a high school diploma spend less time working, experience more job turnover, and earn lower wages. They were also half as likely to earn associate's degrees and even less likely to earn bachelor's degrees. 63

#### **Economic Impact**

· Compared to graduates, the EPE Research Center found that high school dropouts are more likely to be unemployed, earn lower wages, have higher rates of public assistance, be single parents, and have children at a younger age.64

#### TABLE 2. SELECTED OUTCOMES BY EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT

	Employment Rate (Mar '06)	Unemployment Rate (Mar '06)	Earning Power (Median Earnings, '04)
Dropouts	42%	8%	\$18,085
HS Graduate	60%	5%	\$26,104
Some College	70%	4%	\$30,610
College Grad	76%	2%	\$47,317

(EPE Research Center, Diplomas Count, 2006)

- According to the Northwest Alumni Study, alumni of the foster care system experience difficult employment and financial situations once they become 18 and age out of the system. 65
  - » One-third lived at or below poverty (three times the national rate).
  - » One-third had no health insurance (twice the national rate).
  - » Their employment rate was 80% (compared with 95% of same-aged members of the general population).
  - » 17% were currently receiving cash public assistance.

# **Supportive Factors**

#### Staying in Foster Care After Age 18

- The Midwest Study 66 found that:
  - » Young adults who stayed in care after 18 were more than twice as likely to be enrolled in a school or training program as those who had been discharged.
  - » Young adults who stayed in care after 18, who had a high school diploma or GED, were over three times as likely as those no longer in care to be enrolled in a 2- or 4-year college.
  - » Compared to the 19 year olds still in foster care, those who left the system were more likely to have become pregnant. 67

Table 3. Current Educational Enrollment: Midwest Study (n=603)

Educational Enrollment	Young Adults Still In Care	Young Adults No Longer In Care
Not Enrolled	33.3%	69.2%
High School or GED	20.9%	10.1%
Vocational Training	8.5%	9.1%
2-Year College	26.6%	7.9%
4-Year College	10.6%	3.8%

#### Caring, Stable Relationships

- A study conducted with 216 emancipated foster youth attending a four-year university found that social support was an important factor in their educational success: nearly 87% had either a friend of family member to ask for help or advice if needed, 80% had contact with their birth family, and 60% still maintained relationships with their foster or kin-care parents.<sup>68</sup>
- A qualitative study of 38 educationally high-achieving (obtained at least a BA degree) former foster youth found that most of the young adults had a mentor or a role-model, with whom the youth had a close relationship. One in three young adults believed that for former foster youth to succeed in higher education, they need the continued support and advice of a mentor or other adults who understands their experiences.<sup>69</sup>

#### **Existence of Education Advocates**

- Multiple studies indicate that foster children often lack a knowledgeable, consistent educational advocate.
- · Further studies indicate that foster parents, social workers, and judges who are entrusted with the welfare of the child in care too often lack the training and awareness to provide the educational advocacy that children in care especially need.<sup>71</sup>

# Early Childhood Education/Early Intervention

#### General Early Learning Research

- Only 18% of the foster parents in the 2000 New York study reported that children under their care were enrolled in preschool programs. Of the foster parents who indicated that none of the foster children they cared for were enrolled in preschool programs, 80% reported that no one advised them to enroll these children in such programs.72
- The Chicago Longitudinal Study followed the education and social development of more than 1,500 low-income children served by the Chicago Child-Parent Center. When compared to a peer group who did not receive the center's services, participants had a 41% lower rate of juvenile arrest for violent offenses, 41% fewer special education placements, and 51% fewer allegations of child abuse and neglect. At age 21, the participants had a 20% higher rate of high school completion. Every dollar invested in the program returned four dollars to the public in reduced costs of crime, welfare, and remedial education (2001 data).<sup>73</sup>
- The High/Scope Perry Preschool is a multi-year study of 123 low income African-American children who were assessed to be at high risk of school failure. Fifty-eight received a high-quality preschool program at ages 3 and 4; the other 65 children received no preschool program. Forty years later, the group that received high-quality early learning had higher IQs at age 5, higher high school graduation rates, fewer arrests, and higher median annual incomes than those who received no preschool.<sup>74</sup>

#### **Early Intervention**

- A 2005 national study on 2,813 young children in child welfare found that about 40% of toddlers and 50% of preschoolers have high developmental and behavioral needs; however, only 23% of children overall are receiving services for these issues.75
- 89% of the biological parents in the 2000 New York study stated that they were unaware of, and never informed about, the Early Intervention program while their children were in foster care. Without parental consent, most children in foster care cannot receive these services.<sup>76</sup>

## References

CE Center for Policy Analysis (2003, August). Student success: Understanding graduation and persistence rates, Issue Brief. Washington DC: American Council on Education.

Annie E. Casey Foundation (2007). Kids Count Data Book. Retrieved from www.aecf.org on September 7, 2007.

Advocates for Children of New York, Inc. (2000, July). Educational Neglect: The delivery of educational services to children in New York City's foster care system.

Altschuler, S. (1997). Reveille for school social workers: Children in foster care need our help. Social Work in Education, 19, 121-127.

Ayasse, R. H. (1995, October). Addressing the needs of foster children: The foster youth services program. Social Work in Education, 17 (4): 207-216.

Barber, J. G., & Delfabbro, P. H. (2003). The first four months in a new foster placement: Psychosocial adjustment, parental contact, and placement disruption. Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare 30(2), 69-85.

Blome, W. (1997). What happens to foster kids: Educational experiences of a random sample of foster care youth and a matched group of foster care youth. Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal, 14(1), 41-53.

Boesel, D., Alsalam, N., and Smith, T. M. (1998, February). Educational and Labor Market Performance of GED Recipients. National Library of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education (Washington, DC).

Burley, M., & Halpern, M. (2001). Educational attainment of foster youth: Achievement and graduation outcomes for children in state care. Olympia, WA: Washington State Institute for Public Policy.

Casey Family Services. (1999). The road to independence: Transitioning youth in foster care to independence. Author, Shelton, CT. (www.caseyfamilyservices.org.)

Choice, P., D'Andrade, A., Gunther, K., Downes, D., Schaldach, J., Csiszar, C., & Austin, M. (2001). Education for foster children: Removing barriers to academic success. Berkeley, CA: Bay Area Social Services Consortium, Center for Social Services Research, School of Social Welfare, University of California, Berkeley.

Conley, D. (2005). College Knowledge: What it really takes for students to succeed and what we can do to get them ready. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Cook, R. (1994). Are we helping foster care youth prepare for the future? Children and Youth Services Review. 16(3/4), 213-229.

Courtney, M.E., Dworsky, A., Ruth, G., Keller, T., Havlicek, J., & Bost, N. (2005). Evaluation of the adult functioning of former foster youth: Outcomes at age 19. Chicago, IL: Chapin Hall Center for Children at the University of Chicago.

Courtney, M.E., Terao, S. & Bost, N. (2004). Midwest evaluation of the adult functioning of former foster youth: Conditions of youth preparing to leave state care. Chicago, IL: Chapin Hall Center for Children at the University of Chicago.

Cusick, G. R. & Courtney, M. E., (2007). Chapin Hall, Offending During Late Adolescence: How do Youth Aging Out of Care Compare with Their Peers? Issue Brief, January 2007. Available at www.chapinhall.org.

Editorial Projects in Education Research Center (2006, June). Diplomas Count: An Essential Guide to Graduation Policy and Rates. Diplomas Count 2006, first edition. Education Week.

Geenen, S.J., & Powers, L.E. (2006). Transition planning for foster youth. The Journal for Vocational Special Needs Education, 28 (2), 4-15.

Goerge R.M., Van Voorhis, J., Grant, S., Casey, K., & Robinson, M. (1992). Special-education experiences of children in care: An empirical study. Child Welfare, 71(5), 419-437.

Greene, J.P. & Winters, M.A. (2005). Public high school graduation and college-readiness rates: 1991-2002. The Center for Civic Innovation at the Manhattan Institute. Retrieved December 6, 2005, from www.manhattan-institute.org/html /ewp\_08.htm.

Jones, E., Zanghi, M., Onge, A., Sheehy, A., & Oldham, (1998). Improving Economic Opportunities for Young People Served by the Foster Care System: Three Views of the Path to Independent Living. Phase II—Survey. Portland, ME: Edmund S. Muskie School of Public Service, University of Southern

Kerbow, D. (1996). Patterns of urban student mobility and local school reform. Technical Report No. 5, October. Washington, DC: Center for Research on the Education of Children Placed at Risk.

Kortenkamp, K., Ehrle Macomber, J. (2002, January). "The Well-Being of Children Involved with the Child Welfare System: A National Overview." Assessing the New Federalism Policy Brief B-43. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute. Retrieved from www.urban.org/publications/310413.html.

Leslie, L., Hurlburt, M., Landsverk, J., Rolls, J., Wood, P., Kelleher, K. (2003). Comprehensive Assessments for Children Entering Foster Care: A National Perspective. Pediatrics, 112 (1), pp. 134-142.

Martin, P. & Jackson, S. (2002). Educational success for children in public care: advice from a group of high achievers. Child and Family Social Work, 7, pp. 121-130.

McMillen, C., Auslander, W., Elze, D., White, T., & Thompson, R. (2003). Educational experiences and aspirations of older youth in foster care. Child Welfare 82(4), 475-495.

Merdinger, J., Hines, A., Osterling, K.L., & Wyatt, P. (2005). Pathways to College for Former Foster Youth: Understanding Factors that Contribute to Educational Success. *Child Welfare*, 84 (6), pp.867-896.

National AFCARS data (2002). National 2002 AFCARS case level data available from the Child Welfare League of America's National Data Analysis System (NDAS) indicate a mean of 2.5 placements with an average stay of 22 months in care (or a median of 2 placements for a median length of stay of 12 months. (Personal Communication, Carrie Friedman, March 23, 2005). Note that the placement change rate is inflated by the large percentage of children who have a short-term shelter care placement before being placed in a regular foster home.

National AFCARS data (2007). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services AFCARS report: *Preliminary FY 2005 Estimates as of September 2006*. Available at: www.acf.hhs. gov/programs/cb/stats\_research/afcars/tar/report13.htm.

Orfield, G., Ed. (2004) *Dropouts in America: Confronting the Graduation Rate Crisis*. Harvard Education Press: Cambridge, MA.

Pecora, P., Williams, J., Kessler, R., Hiripi, E., O'Brien, K., Eerson, J., Herrick, M., Torres, D. (2006). Assessing the Educational Achievements of Adults Who Formerly Were Placed in Family Foster Care. *Child and Family Social Work*, 11, pp. 220-231.

Pecora, P., Kessler, R., Williams, J., O'Brien, K., Downs, C., English, D., White, J., Hiripi, E., White, C.R., Wiggins, T. & Holmes, K. (2005). *Improving family foster care: Findings from the Northwest Foster Care Alumni Study*. Seattle, WA: Casey Family Programs.

Pecora, P.J., Williams, J., Kessler, R.C., Downs, A.C., O'Brien, K., Hiripi, E., & Morello, S. (2003). Assessing the effects of foster care: Early results from the Casey National Alumni Study. Seattle, WA: Casey Family Programs.

Reilly, T. (2003). Transition from care: Status and outcomes of youth who age out of foster care. *Child Welfare*, 82(6), 727-746.

Reynolds, A.J., Temple, J.A., Robertson, D.L., Mann, E.A. (2002). Age 21 Cost-Benefit Analysis of the Title I Chicago Child-Parent Centers. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, Vol. 24, No. 4 (Winter, 2002), pp. 267-303

Rubin, D., Alessandrini, E., Feudtner, C., Mandell, D., Localio, A., Hadley, T. (2004, May). *Placement Stability and Mental Health Costs for Children in Foster Care.* Pediatrics Vol. 113, No. 5, May 2004, pp. 1336-1341.

Rumberger, R.W. (2003). The causes and consequences of student mobility. *The Journal of Negro Education*, 72(1), 6-21.

Rumberger, R. (2001). Who Drops Out of School and Why. Paper presented at the School Completion in Standards-Based Reform: Facts and Strategies Workshop, National Research Council, Committee on Educational Excellence and Testing Equity, Washington, DC (July 17-18). Available: University of California, Santa Barbara.

Rumberger, R.W., Larson, K.A., Ream, R.K., & Palardy, G.J. (1999). The educational consequences of mobility for California students and schools. Policy Analysis for California Education, University of California at Berkeley.

Rumberger, R., & Larson, K.A. (1998). Student mobility and the increased risk of high school dropout. *American Journal of Education*, 107, 1-35.

Rumberger, R.W. (1995). Dropping out of middle school: A multilevel analysis of students and schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(3), 583-625.

Schweinhart, L.J., & Weikart, D.P. (1993). Significant Benefits: The High/Scope Perry Preschool Study Through Age 27. Retrieved September 7, 2007, from www.tyc.state.tx.us/prevention/hiscope.html.

Sheehy, A.M., Oldham, E., Zanghi, M., Ansell, D., Correia, III, P., & Copeland., R. (2001). *Promising practices: Supporting transition of youth served by the foster care system.* Portland, ME: Edmund S. Muskie School of Public Service, University of Southern Main and Tulsa, OK: National Resource Center for Youth Services, University of Oklahoma.

Shin, S.H. (2003). Building evidence to promote educational competence of youth in foster care. *Child Welfare*, 82(5),615-632.

Smithgall, C., Gladden, R.M., Howard, E., Goerge, R., Courtney, M. (2004). *Educational experiences of children in out-of-home care*. Chicago, IL: Chapin Hall Center for Children at the University of Chicago.

Smucket, K., Kauffman, & Ball, D. (1996). School-related problems of special education-foster care students with emotional or behavioral disorders: A comparison to other groups. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders, 4, 30-30* 

Stahmer, A., Leslie, L., Hurlburt, M., Barth, R., Webb, M., Landsverk, J., Zhang, J. (2005, Oct). *Developmental and Behavioral Needs and Service Use for Young Children in Child Welfare*. Pediatrics Vol. 116, No. 4, October 2005, pp. 891-900.

Zanghi, M., Oldham, E., Sheehy, A.M., & Riebman, D. (1999). *Maine study on improving the educational outcomes for children in care*. Portland, ME: University of Southern Maine, Edmund S. Muskie School of Public Service, Institute for Child and Family Policy.

Zima, B.T., Bussing, R., Freeman, S., Yang, X., Belin, T.R., & Forness, S.R. (2000). Behavior problems, academic skill delays and school failure among school-aged children in foster care: Their relationship to placement characteristics. *Journal of Child and Family Studies 9* (1): 87-103.

# **Endnotes**

Research compiled by the National Working Group on Foster Care and Education.

- 1 National AFCARS data (2007)
- 2 Kids Count (2007)
- 3 National AFCARS data (2002)
- 4 Burley & Halpern, 2001, p. 1
- 5 Advocates for Children of New York, Inc., 2000, p. 5
- 6 Courtney, et al., 2004, p. 42
- 7 Smithgall et al., 2004, p. 46
- 8 Pecora, et al., 2006, p. 223
- 9 Kerbow, University of Chicago, 1996, p. 20
- 10 Rumberger, et al., 1999, p. 37
- 11 Pecora et al., 2006, p. 226
- 12 Rubin, et al., 2004, p. 5.
- 13 Courtney, et al., 2004, p. 42
- 14 Advocates for Children of New York, Inc., 2000, p. 4
- 15 Choice, et al., 2001, p. 44
- 16 Burley & Halpern, 2001, p. 13
- 17 Courtney, et al., 2004, pp. 43, 45
- 18 Smithgall et al., 2004, pp. 14, 17
- 19 Burley & Halpern, 2001, p. 1
- 20 Advocates for Children of New York, Inc., 2000, p. 45
- 21 Courtney, et al., 2004, p. 42
- 22 Smithgall et. al., 2004, p. 22
- 23 Pecora, et al., 2006, p. 223
- Courtney, et al., 2004 (47% of 732); Smithgall, et al.,
  2004 (45% of 1,216 sixth through eighth graders);
  Burley and Halpern, 2001 (23% of 1,423 third graders,
  29% of 1,539 six graders, 24% of 1,597 ninth graders);
  Choice, et al., 2001 (36% of 303); Advocates for
  Children of New York Inc., 2000 (30% of 70); Zanghi,
  1999 (41% of 134); Jones, et. al., 1998 (23% of 249);
  Goerge, et al., 1992 (29.1% of 14,714)
- 25 IDEA Part B Child Count (2005), Table 1-10 and IDEA Part B Data Fact Sheet. The percentage of children ages 6-17 served by IDEA in the United States in 2005 at a certain point in time was 11.59%. It is not a cumulative count of all students served throughout the year and actual percentage may be slightly higher. States have different eligibility criteria for each disability category. As a result, the proportion of students with a particular disability differs from state to state. Accessed 8/29/07 at: www.ideadata.org/arc\_toc7.asp#partbCC.
- 26 Burley & Halpern, 2001, p. 16
- 27 Courtney, et al., 2004, p. 40
- 28 Smithgall et. al., 2004, p. 58
- 29 Geenen & Powers, 2006, pp. 9-11
- 30 Choice et al., 2001,p.54, p. 89
- 31 Advocates for Children of New York, Inc., 2000, p. 6
- 32 Advocates for Children of New York, Inc., 2000, p. 6
- 33 Pecora et al., 2005, p. 34

- 34 Ibid.
- 35 Leslie, et al., 2003, p. 2
- 36 Goerge et al., 1992, p. 3; Smithgall et al., 2004, p. 58
- 37 Courtney, et al., 2004, p. 42; Barber & Delfabbro, 2003, pp. 6, 7; McMillen et al., 2003, p. 475; Zima et al., 2000, pp. 98, 99; Kortenkamp & Ehrle, 2002, pp. 2-3
- 38 EPE Research Center, 2006
- 39 Burley & Halpern, 2001, p. 1
- 40 Pecora, et al., 2005, p. 35, p. 2
- 41 Pecora, et al., 2006, pp. 225
- 42 Courtney, et al., 2005, p. 22
- 43 Cook, 1994, p. 218
- 44 Smithgall et al., 2004, p. 28
- 45 Blome, 1997, p. 45, p. 47
- 46 Rumberger, 1995, p. 601
- 47 Rumberger & Larson, 1998, p. 11; Rumberger, 2003
- 48 EPE Research Center, 2006
- 49 Orfield, G., Ed., 2004, p. 157
- 50 Pecora, et al., 2005, p. 36
- 51 E.g. Casey Family Services, 1999, p. 13; Courtney et al., 2004 pp. 39-40; Reilly, 2003, Pecora, et al., 2006
- 52 Pecora, et al., 2006, p. 225
- 53 Courtney, et al., 2004, p. 39
- 54 Shin, 2003, p. 622
- 55 Blome, 1997, p. 47
- 56 Greene, 2005, p. 9
- 57 Ibid.
- 58 Conley, 2005, p. xi
- 59 Courtney, et al., 2005, p. 54
- 60 Cusick and Courtney, 2007, p.6
- 61 Pecora, et al, 2005, p. 23
- 62 Courtney, et al., 2005, p. 29
- 63 Boesel, Alsalam, and Smith, 1998, pp. xii-xiv.
- 64 EPE Research Center, 2006
- 65 Pecora, et al., 2005, p. 27
- 66 Courtney, et al., 2005, p. 21
- 67 Courtney, et al., 2005, p. 54
- 68 Merdinger, et al., 2005, p. 891
- 69 Martin & Jackson, 2002, p. 128
- 70 Ayasse, 1995, p. 10; Goerge, et al., 1992, p. 8; Smucker, et al., 1996, pp.11-13
- 71 Advocates for Children of New York, Inc., 2000, pp. 56, 58; Altshuler, 1997, p. 3
- 72 Advocates for Children of New York, Inc., 2000, p. 35
- 73 Reynolds, et al., 2002, p. 278, p. 289
- 74 Schweinhart, 1993
- 75 Stahmer, et al, 2005, pp. 896-7
- 76 Advocates for Children of New York, Inc., 2000, p. 30

National Working Group on Foster Care and Education

www.casey.org/friendsandfamilies/partners/NWGFCE